	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,876	EL KABBANI ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS Is herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-88 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT I of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.37	pears on the cover sheet with S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate commur RIGHTS. This application is su	the correspondence address this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-16</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examin	er.	
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	ve been received. ve been received in Application	No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub- INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gi	mitted. Note the attached EXAN ves reason(s) why the oath or o	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") muse (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspeen (b) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in</li> </ol>	rson's Patent Drawing Review  r's Amendment / Comment or i  1.84(c)) should be written on the	n the Office action of drawings in the front (not the back) of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>		
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☑ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	6. ⊠ Interview Sur Paper No./M	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) mmary (PTO-413), fail Date mendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date  4.  Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	, <u> </u>	JAMES O. WILSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Application/Control Number: 10/632,876

Art Unit: 1623

## REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE/EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Kevin Casey on May 25, 2004 the following election/restriction was set forth:

I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a process of crystallization of sucralose, classified in class 536, subclass 124.

II. Claims 26-30 drawn to a product comprising sucralose, classified in class 514, subclass 23.

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, namely the process or crystallization has a different mode of operation and different functions compared with a composition comprising sucralose.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 17-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art, Jackson (GB 2169601) teaches the incorporation of sodium acetate into sucralose via co-crystallization in ethanol as the solvent. The process of crystallization of sucralose from an aqueous solution comprising adjusting the pH by using a buffer in general and sodium acetate in particular as instantly claimed is neither taught or fairly suggested by the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by the applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Kevin Casey on 28 May 2004.

Claims 17-22 have been cancelled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James O.

Wilson, Primary Examiner in Art Unit 1623 at 571-272-0661

JAMES O. WILSOM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATE

DECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600